

22624

Federal Register / Vol. 79, No. 78 / Wednesday, April 23, 2014 / Notices

d. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices  
F. Currency Conversion  
G. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-09281 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-520-803]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From the United Arab Emirates: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Huston, Office VII, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

#### Background

On November 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from the United Arab Emirates covering the period November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013.<sup>1</sup> The Department received a timely request from Petitioners<sup>2</sup> for an AD administrative review of two companies: JBF RAK LLC (JBF) and Flex Middle East FZE (Flex).<sup>3</sup> In addition, the Department received a timely request for an AD review of itself from JBF.<sup>4</sup> On December 30, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation, Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 78 FR 65612, 65613 (November 1, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> Petitioners are DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc.

<sup>3</sup> See Petitioners' letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from United Arab Emirates: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated December 2, 2013.

<sup>4</sup> See JBF's letter, "JBF RAK LLC/Request for A/D Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from United Arab Emirates," dated November 29, 2013.

respect to Flex and JBF.<sup>5</sup> On March 31, 2014, petitioners withdrew their request for an AD administrative review of Flex.<sup>6</sup>

#### Rescission in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Petitioners' March 31, 2014 withdrawal request was submitted within the 90-day period and thus is timely.<sup>7</sup> Because Petitioners' withdrawal of their requests for review is timely and because no other party requested a review of Flex, we are rescinding this review with respect to this company, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The request from petitioners for an administrative review of JBF has not been withdrawn. As such, we are not rescinding the review with respect to JBF.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess ADs on all appropriate entries. Subject merchandise of Flex will be assessed ADs at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated ADs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of ADs prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the

<sup>5</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 79392 (December 30, 2013).

<sup>6</sup> See Petitioners' letter "Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Flex Middle East FZE," dated March 31, 2014.

<sup>7</sup> The 90th day fell on March 30, 2014. However, because this day fell on a weekend, the actual due date is the following business day, i.e., March 31, 2014. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended*, 70 FR 24533, 24533 (May 10, 2005).

ADs occurred and the subsequent assessment of double ADs.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 17, 2014.

**James Maeder,**

*Director, Office II, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014-09282 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-928, A-791-821, A-552-803]

#### Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (the ITC) determined that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on uncovered innerspring units from the People's Republic of China (PRC), South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States. Therefore, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of these AD orders.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatton, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW.,

Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0768 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 1, 2013, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset reviews of the AD orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>1</sup> As a result of its reviews, the Department determined that revocation of the AD orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail should the orders be revoked.<sup>2</sup> On April 11, 2014, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the ITC determined that revocation of the AD orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>3</sup>

##### Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by these orders is uncovered innerspring units composed of a series of individual metal springs joined together in sizes corresponding to the sizes of adult mattresses (e.g., twin, twin long, full, full long, queen, California king, and king) and units used in smaller constructions, such as crib and youth mattresses. All uncovered innerspring units are included in this scope regardless of width and length. Included within this definition are innersprings typically ranging from 30.5 inches to 76 inches in width and 68 inches to 84 inches in length. Innersprings for crib mattresses typically range from 25 inches to 27 inches in width and 50 inches to 52 inches in length.

Uncovered innerspring units are suitable for use as the innerspring component in the manufacture of innerspring mattresses, including mattresses that incorporate a foam encasement around the innerspring. Pocketed and non-pocketed innerspring

units are included in this definition. Non-pocketed innersprings are typically joined together with helical wire and border rods. Non-pocketed innersprings are included in this definition regardless of whether they have border rods attached to the perimeter of the innerspring. Pocketed innersprings are individual coils covered by a "pocket" or "sock" of a nonwoven synthetic material or woven material and then glued together in a linear fashion.

Uncovered innersprings are classified under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under subheadings 9404.10.0000, 7326.20.0070, 7320.20.5010, or 7320.90.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). On January 11, 2011, the Department included HTSUS classification numbers 9404.29.9005 and 9404.29.9011 to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). On January 7, 2013, the Department included the HTSUS classification 7326.20.0071 number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by CBP. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

##### Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the AD orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam. CBP will continue to collect AD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of the orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year reviews of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

These sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: April 17, 2014.

**Paul Piguado,**  
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-09275 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-489-502]

#### Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2012 and Intent To Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, in Part

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipe and tube products from Turkey (steel pipe) for the period of review (POR) of January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012. The review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise that the Department selected for individual examination: Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (BMB), and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal), (collectively, the Borusan Companies). Additionally, this review covers two firms that were not individually examined: Erbosan Ercliyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan AS) and Erbosan Ercliyas Pipe Industry and Trade Co. Kayseri Free Zone Branch (Erbosan FZB), (collectively Erbosan), and Tosyali dis Ticaret A.S. (Tosyali) and Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S. (Toscelik Profil), (collectively, Toscelik). We preliminarily determine that the Borusan Companies received countervailable subsidies during the POR but that the total net subsidy rate is less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* and, therefore, *de minimis*. For purposes of these preliminary results, we assigned Erbosan and Toscelik, the non-selected respondents, net subsidy rates of *de minimis* and 0.83 percent *ad valorem*, respectively. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES: Effective Date:** April 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jolanta Lawska, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 65614 (November 1, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> See *Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 79 FR 13277 (March 10, 2014).

<sup>3</sup> See *Uncovered Innerspring Units From China, South Africa, and Vietnam*, 79 FR 20230 (April 11, 2014).